

TABELL'S MARKET LETTER

Delafield, Harvey, Tabell

909 STATE ROAD, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

DIVISION OF

Janney Montgomery Scott Inc.

MEMBER NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE, INC
MEMBER AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE

December 2, 1977

Part of the market commentator's stock-in-trade is the forecast. Indeed, it could almost be said that indulgence in this exercise is something expected by his readers, who come to expect, on a regular basis, some comment on what the stock market is likely to do.

The word "likely" is worth stress, since what is usually expected is a forecast rather than a prediction, and there exists here a subtle distinction. The latter word is Latin in its origin, stemming from *prae*, before, and *dicere*, to say. The former is a pure Anglo-Saxon product, related to the German *vor* and the Old Norse *kasta*, meaning to throw or project. In modern usage, Webster tells us, both imply "inference from facts or accepted laws of nature", but "'forecast' adds the implication of anticipated eventuality and differs from 'predict' in being usefully concerned with probabilities rather than certainties". Undue emphasis on probabilities versus certainties has often cynically been called hedging, but that is another matter.

We have often thought the demand for forecasts --- or even predictions --- to be an excessive and, indeed, unnecessary one. Forecasts do not earn an investment return and past "rightness" about the course of the stock market is generally not accepted as currency at the local supermarket. In asset management, a forecast is useful only insofar as it suggests a course of investment action and that course of investment action later turns out to have been a profitable one. The basic function of the investment advisor is to suggest appropriate investment policy. That policy may, indeed, be unrelated to a forecast, or the forecast which prompts it may, in fact, be a purposely limited one. We have in the past attempted to illustrate the investment decision-maker's dilemma by the admittedly over-simplified diagram below. It postulates two possible investment decisions, to be long stocks and not to be long stocks, and two possible states of the world, the stock market is going either up or down.

There are thus four possible results, two of which are "right" and two "wrong." The two "wrong" decision boxes represent risk, and part of the problem confronting the investment manager at the moment centers on which of the two risks he prefers to assume, that of being long stocks if the true course of the market is, indeed, downward, or that of not being long stocks if the market's real direction is up.

We have been documenting in this space for about a month the sorry fact that recent markets have provided us with little on which to base a concrete forecast. We know that the past course of the market, from July to the end of October, was down, and we know that, last month at least, that downward course was temporarily stemmed. As we have been suggesting, however, the November rally failed to provide us with the sort of concrete reversal evidence normally seen at market bottoms. Thus, the difficulty in forecasting.

In the absence of a forecast, then, we are forced to choose between which risk it is more appropriate to take and here, we think, it is possible to come down firmly on the side of the proposition that the risk involved in owning stocks is preferable to the risk involved in not owning them. There are a number of reasons, both fundamental and technical, for this preference but, suffice it to say, we are willing to defend them.

Once we have come this far, an investment policy clearly follows, and that investment policy involves a reasonably aggressive attitude toward equities. The process of arriving at this policy has involved little in the way of a forecast about the viability of the current rally, that task, as we have suggested, being more than usually fraught with difficulty. It is policy, however, that will ultimately prove useful or otherwise, not a forecast.

MARKET DIRECTION

		UP	DOWN
DECISION	LONG	right	wrong
	NOT LONG	wrong	right

Dow-Jones Industrials (12:00 p.m.) 822.94
S & P Composite (12:00 p.m.) 94.47
Cumulative Index (12/2/77) 678.64
AWT/jb

ANTHONY W. TABELL
DELAFIELD, HARVEY, TABELL

No statement or expression of opinion or any other matter herein contained is, or is to be deemed to be, directly or indirectly, an offer or the solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security referred to or mentioned. The matter is presented merely for the convenience of the subscriber. While we believe the sources of our information to be reliable, we in no way represent or guarantee the accuracy thereof nor of the statements made herein. Any action to be taken by the subscriber should be based on his own investigation and information. Janney Montgomery Scott, Inc., as a corporation, and its officers or employees, may now have, or may later take, positions or trades in respect to any securities mentioned in this or any future issue, and such position may be different from any views now or hereafter expressed in this or any other issue. Janney Montgomery Scott, Inc., which is registered with the SEC as an investment advisor, may give advice to its investment advisory and other customers independently of any statements made in this or in any other issue. Further information on any security mentioned herein is available on request.