

TABELL'S MARKET LETTER

Delafield, Harvey, Tabell

909 STATE ROAD, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

DIVISION OF

Janney Montgomery Scott Inc.

MEMBER NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.
MEMBER AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE

February 22, 1974

A couple of years ago when the one-decision investment concept and the craze for growth stocks still retained the status of revealed religion, it was a fairly difficult task to suggest to many portfolio managers that these stocks, on a technical basis, possessed rather substantial potential vulnerability. More recently, since many of the growth idols have developed apparent feet of clay, it has become easier to convince investors that indeed some risk may attach to these issues, and we find ourselves being asked more and more often at what level does technical work suggest they should be bought. This, unfortunately, is precisely the wrong question. The right question as regards many of the former favorites is not at what level they should be purchased, but whether or not they should, at any time in the foreseeable future, be purchased, and, indeed, by extension, whether they should not now, even at current depressed levels, be sold. The 1973 bear market, in other words, has convinced many investors --- rather painfully --- that stocks which become excessively priced in relation to others can be vulnerable to correction. The attitude still remains, however, that at some point the unpleasantness will be over, and we can go back to playing the same old game with the same old familiar names we came to know and love a couple of years ago. That particular myth may die harder, and, while it is still believed, it is likely to be productive of subpar investment results.

Let us illustrate by a fable. Suppose a number of years back that an investor had located a rather interesting company in one of America's larger industries. Recent years had produced deficits due to industry-wide overcapacity, but the technological and earnings outlook for the industry was good. The stock was available at a modest price of around \$13 and a hypothetical investor, let us say, decided to purchase 1000 shares. The decision proved to be a wise one. Over the next 18 years, barely half a working lifetime, the original 1000 shares had increased through splits to 12,000, and each one of these 12,000 shares was worth \$111. The original \$13,000 investment, in a relatively short period, had increased to over \$1.3 million. These fictitious results were perfectly attainable. The company in question was the Boeing Airplane Company, and the 18 years referred to were from 1949 to 1967. The subsequent story, of course, has not been as happy a one. In the 1968-70 bear market, Boeing declined to a low of \$12, and has spent the entire subsequent period trading between that low and a high of \$26. Indeed, its average price for each of the past four years has been around \$19 a share. Not that this is particularly the fault of Boeing itself. True, there was a difficult period in 1969-70, but the recovery has been fairly impressive, and the company, while earning half of what it earned in the 1967 high, is selling for one-tenth of the price. Clearly the bulk of the reevaluation has been caused by the market's looking at Boeing in a different way today than it did a few years ago.

The magic of the compound interest table, moreover, cuts in two directions. If our hypothetical Boeing investor had analyzed his rate of return during the first ten years or so of his investment, he would have discovered he was earning at an annual rate of 40-50% on his capital from capital appreciation alone. Despite the fact that the same investor today has a 1000% profit, his annualized rate of return has been reduced to 11% compounded. We are furthermore hypothesizing the case of a man who was lucky to buy early in the advance, in 1949. Any investor who came to the game somewhat later, and bought the same stock at its average price for any year subsequent to 1953 today shows a loss on his investment.

The point is, of course, that today's avid seekers of growth stocks are neither more nor less bright than their counterparts who were bemused by the prospects of the aerospace industry a decade or so ago. Those analysts were just as certain of the bright future of the aerospace industry in the middle '60's as analysts of a couple of years ago were sure that first-tier issues were going to continue their growth forever. As we suggested above many investors are still not totally disabused of this idea, and the process of so disabusing them will, we think, be a long one.

Dow-Jones Industrials (12:00 p.m.) 848.09
S & P Comp. (12:00 p.m.) 94.74
Cumulative Index (2/21/74) 601.46
AWT/jb

ANTHONY W. TABELL
DELAFIELD, HARVEY, TABELL

No statement or expression of opinion or any other matter herein contained is, or is to be deemed to be, directly or indirectly, an offer or the solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security referred to or mentioned. The matter is presented merely for the convenience of the subscriber. While we believe the sources of our information to be reliable, we in no way represent or guarantee the accuracy thereof nor of the statements made herein. Any action to be taken by the subscriber should be based on his own investigation and information. Janney Montgomery Scott, Inc., as a corporation, and its officers or employees, may now have, or may later take, positions or trades in respect to any securities mentioned in this or any future issue, and such position may be different from any views now or hereafter expressed in this or any other issue. Janney Montgomery Scott, Inc., which is registered with the SEC as an investment advisor, may give advice to its investment advisory and other customers independently of any statements made in this or in any other issue. Further information on any security mentioned herein is available on request.